

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/934,816 08/22/2001 Charles H. Tolman S01.12-0777/STL 9440 8841 12/29/2003 **EXAMINER** Brian D. Kaul TUPPER, ROBERT S WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY International Centre - Suite 1600 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 900 South Second Avenue 2652 Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319 DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JAN 2 7 2004

Technology Center 2600

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| Office Action Comments | 09/934,816 | TOLMAN ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Robert S Tupper | 2652 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. & 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M | <u>1arch 2003</u> . | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E | nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | DECEIVED |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application | | RECEIVED |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are withdrawr 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are allowed. | n from consideration. | JAN 2 7 2004 |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected. | | Technology Center 2600 |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | reciniology contain according |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicate may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the for drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provided Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) t sentence of the specification or visional application has been received c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 | on No d in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. | | PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) |

| FORM | PTO-1 | 449 | | | | | At: | ty. Dock | et No.: 7/STL 9440 | Appl. No.: Filed Here | wit |
|---|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT | | | | | First Named Inventor: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Cha | erles H. | Tolman et | al. w | |
| | | | | | | | Fil | ing Date |) | Group Art. | |
| | | | | | | | Fil | ed Herev | vith | 100 | |
| | | | | | U.S. P | ATENT DOCUMENTS | | | | ;n | |
| | miner tial | Document No. | Dat | :e | | Name | | Class | Sub Class | Filing Dat | |
| ROT | AA | 4,992,901 | 12/12 | /91 | Keel | et al. | | 360 | 110 | | |
| | AB | 5,116,719 | 5/26/ | 92 | Gau | | | 430 | 313 | | |
| | AC | 5,200,056 | 4/6/9 | 3 | Cohen | et al. | | 205 | 122 | | |
| | AD | 5,406,434 | 4/11/ | 95 | Amin | et al. | | 360 | 126 | | |
| | AE | 5,699,605 | 12/23 | /97 | Amin | et al. | | 29 | 603.14 | | |
| <u> </u> | AF | 6,054,023 | 4/25/ | 00 | Chang | et al. | | 204 | 192.2 | | |
| RST | AG | 6,156,487 | 12/5/ | 00 | Jennison et al. | | | 430 | 31 <u>6</u> | | |
| | AH | | | | - | | | | RE | CEIVE |) |
| | AI | | | | | | | | | IAN 2 7 2004 | |
| | AJ | | | FO: | REIGN I | PATENT DOCUMENT | s | | | iology Center 26 | 300 |
| | | Document No. Da | | te Country | | | Clas | Sub s Class | Translati Yes N | lon No | |
| | AK | • | | | | | | | | | - |
| | AL | | | | | | - | | | | |
| | AM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | OTHER ART (| Includi | ng Au | thor, | Title, Date, Pe | erti | nent Pag | es, Etc.) | | |
| | AN | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AO | , | | | | | | | | | |
| | AP | | | | | | | | | | |
| EXAMIN | ER: | 12.5.11 | mm. | 1 | | DATE CONS | SIDE | RED: 12 | 103 | | |

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/934,816

Art Unit: 2652

Page 2

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group II, claims 11-25, in Paper No. 5 of 3/18/03 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the invention of Group II cannot be made using a materially different process from that defined in the claims of Group I. This is not found persuasive because Applicant has argued a breadth for the method claims that clearly encompasses using the method to make other materially different products. Method claim 1 recites that it is the method of forming a top pole, whereas appartatus claim 11 recites that the product is a write element. Further, the method claim does not positively recite a step of providing a gap member. Thus the method of group I can be used to make a narrow top pole for heads materially different from the write element defined in Group II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 1-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Application/Control Number: 09/934,816

Art Unit: 2652

4. Claims 11-13 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by CHEN et al (5,652,687).

Note figure 21. CHEN et al shows write head having a bottom pole (P1/P1T0 having a top surface (not numbered), a first trench (metal layer 172, best seen in figure 18) with a first opening defined by opposed sidewalls, a second trench (120) also defined by opposing sidewalls, a gap member (G), and a top pole (P2T) located within the second opening. The gap width is stated to be less than I micron (see column 4 line 62 – column 5 line 4) and thus reads on the recitations in claims 12 and 22. The sidewalls of the second trench are of the same material as the gap member and read on the recitations in claims13 and 23. Note that these claims do not require that: (1) the top surface of the bottom pole be flat, (2) the first trench be in direct contact with the top surface of the bottom pole, or (3) the trenches be of different widths.

The recitation of a method in claim 21 is noted. As the claim is directed to a "magnetic head", per se, the method limitation appearing in this claim has only been accorded weight to the extent that it affects the structure of the completed magnetic head. Note that "[d]etermination of patentability in 'product-by-process' claims is based on product itself, even though such claims are limited and defined by process, and thus product in such claim is unpatentable if it is the same as, or obvious form, product of prior art, even if prior product was made by a different process", *In re Thorpe, et al.*, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985). Furthermore, note that a "[p]roduct-by-process claim, although reciting subject matter of claim in terms of how it is made, is still product claim; it is patentability of product claimed and not recited process steps that must be

Art Unit: 2652

established, in spite of fact that claim may recite only process limitations", *In re Hirao* and Sato, 190 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1976).

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 14, 15, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHEN et al (5,652,687).

CHEN et al shows a thin film head structure substantially as claimed. CHEN et al differs only in not disclosing the exact dimensions listed in these claims.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the head of CHEN et al to have the listed dimensions. The motivation is as follows: these would have been the obvious result of routine experimentation and optimization. Note that CHEN et al refers to these dimensions in general when stating that the gap width would be less than 1 micron (see column 4 line 62 – column 5 line 4). One of ordinary skill in the art would routinely experiment and optimize where no specific dimensions were disclosed.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 09/934,816

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 16-20 utilize the "means plus function" format to recite the trench structures. The prior art does not teach or suggest a head structure having the structures disclosed and shown in figure 4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3054750.

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination TOLMAN ET AL. | Examiner | Art Unit | Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Name | Classification |
|---|---|--|-----------------|-------------|----------------|
| - | A | US-5,652,687 | 07-1997 | Chen et al. | 360/126 |
| | В | US- | | | |
| | С | US- | | | |
| , | D | US- | | | |
| | Ε | US- | | | |
| | F | US- | | | |
| | G | US- | | | |
| | Н | US- | | | |
| | 1 | US- | | | |
| | J | US- | | - | |
| | Ŕ | US- | | | |
| | L | US- | | | |
| | М | US∸ | | 1 | |

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

| * | | Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code | Date MM-YYYY | Country | Name | Classification |
|---|----|--|-----------------|---------|------|----------------|
| Ĺ | N | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | |
| | Р | | | | | |
| | Q | | | | | |
| | R | | | | | |
| | S | | | | | |
| | T: | | - | | | |

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

| | | NORTALENT BOCCINENTS |
|---|-----|---|
| * | ļ | Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) |
| | U | |
| | V | į · |
| | w | |
| | · x | |

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.